Cascade Analysis: An Adaptable Implementation Strategy Across HIV and Non-HIV Delivery Platforms

Anjuli D. Wagner, PhD, MPH,^a Sarah Gimbel, PhD, MPH, RN,^{a.b}

Kristjana H. Ásbjörnsdóttir, PhD, MPH,^c Peter Cherutich, PhD, MBChB, MPH,^d Joana Coutinho, BSN,^e Jonny Crocker, PhD, MSEE,^a Emilia Cruz,^e Fatima Cuembelo, MMed, MPH,^f
Vasco Cumbe, MD, MSc, PhDc,^{g,h} McKenna Eastment, MD, MPH,ⁱ Jennifer Einberg, MS, MBA,^j
Florencia Floriano, BSN,^e Douglas Gaitho, MMed, MBChB,^k Brandon L. Guthrie, PhD, MPH,^{a.c.}
Grace John-Stewart, MD, MPH, PhD,^{a.c.i.l} Alex H. Kral, PhD, MPH,^m Barrot H. Lambdin, PhD, MPH,^{a.m.n}
Shan Liu, PhD, SM,^o Martin Maina, Bcom,^k Nelia Manaca, PhD,^e Mika Matsuzaki, PhD, MPH, MS,^p
Loris Mattox, BA,^q Nancy Mburu, BA,^k R. Scott McClelland, MD, MPH,^{a.c.i} Mark A. Micek, MD, MPH,^{k.t}
Irene N. Njuguna, PhD, MBChB, MPH,^{c.u} Geoffrey Oluoch, BS, MSc,^k Laura B. Oyiengo, MMed, MD,^d
Keshet Ronen, PhD, MPH,^a Caroline Soi, PhD, MSc, MBChB,^a Bradley H. Wagenaar, PhD, MPH,^{a.c.o.v}

Background: Cascades have been used to characterize sequential steps within a complex health system and are used in diverse disease areas and across prevention, testing, and treatment. Routine data have great potential to inform prioritization within a system, but are often inaccessible to frontline health care workers (HCWs) who may have the greatest opportunity to innovate health system improvement.

Methods: The cascade analysis tool (CAT) is an Excel-based, simple simulation model with an optimization function. It identifies the step within a cascade that could most improve the system. The original CAT was developed for HIV treatment and the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV.

Results: CAT has been adapted 7 times: to a mobile application for prevention of mother-to-child transmission; for hypertension screening and management and for mental health outpatient services in Mozambique; for pediatric and adolescent HIV testing and treatment, HIV testing in family planning, and cervical cancer screening and treatment in Kenya; and for naloxone distribution and opioid overdose reversal in the United States. The main domains of adaptation have been technical estimating denominators and structuring steps to be binary sequential steps—as well as logistical—identifying acceptable approaches for data abstraction and aggregation, and not overburdening HCW.

Received for publication September 8, 2019; accepted September 11, 2019.

The authors have no funding or conflicts of interest to disclose.

Correspondence to: Anjuli D. Wagner, PhD, MPH, Department of Global Health, University of Washington, 325 9th Avenue, Seattle, WA (e-mail: anjuliw@uw.edu). Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

S322 | www.jaids.com

J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr • Volume 82, Supplement 3, December 2019

From the Departments of ^aGlobal Health; and ^bChild, Family, and Population Health Nursing, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ^cDepartment of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ^dMinistry of Health, Nairobi, Kenya; ^cHealth Alliance International, Beira, Mozambique; ^lCommunity Health Department, Eduardo Mondlane University, Maputo, Mozambique; ^gDepartment of Mental Health, Sofala Provincial Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Beira, Mozambique; ^hPsychiatry Department, Paulista School of Medicine, Sao Paulo Federal University, UNIFESP; ⁱDepartment of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ^jIota Ink, Seattle, WA; ^kNetwork of AIDS Researchers of East and Southern Africa, Nairobi, Kenya; ^lDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ^mCommunity Health and Implementation Research Program, RTI International, San Francisco, CA; ⁿDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; ^oDepartment of Industrial and Systems Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ^pHarvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA; ^qHIV Education and Prevention Project of Alameda County, Oakland CA; ^lDepartment of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health; ^sFaculty of Medicine, Universide Eduardo Mondlane, Division of Non Communicable Diseases, Instituto Nacional de Saúde, Maputo, Mozambique; ^lDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Nairobi, Kenya; ^uResearch and Programs, Kenyata National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ^vHealth Alliance International, Seattle, WA; and ^wDepartment of Medical Microbiology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya; ^vHealth Alliance International, Seattle, WA; and ^wDepartment of Medical Microbiology, University of Nairobi, Kenya; ^vHealth Alliance International, Seattle, WA; and ^wDepartment of Medical Microbiology, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

Supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health, including R01MH113435 (SAIA-SCALE), F32HD088204 and R34AI129900 (SAIA-PEDS), R21AI124399 (mPCAT), K24HD088229 (SAIA-FP), R21MH113691 (SAIA-MH), P30AI027757 (CFAR), R21DA046703 (SAIA-Naloxone), R01HL142412 (SAIA-HTN), R01HD0757 and R01HD0757-02S1 (SAIA), and K08CA228761 (CCS SAIA), BHW was supported by K01MH110599 from the US National Institute of Mental Health, SL was supported by CMMI1824623 from National Science Foundation, and ADW was supported by F32HD088204 from NICHD and Fogarty International Center (FIC) R25 TW009345. Additional support was provided by the UW Global Center for Integrated Health of Women, Adolescents, and Children (Global WACh). Support was provided by the Implementation Science Core of the University of Washington/Fred Hutch Center for AIDS Research, an NIH-funded program under award number AI027757 which is supported by the following NIH Institutes and Centers: NIAID, NCI, NIMH, NIDA, NICHD, NHLBI, NIA, NIGMS, and NIDDK. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Discussion: CAT allows for prompt feedback to HCWs, increases HCW autonomy, and allows managers to allocate resources and time in an equitable manner. CAT is an effective, feasible, and acceptable implementation strategy to prioritize areas most requiring improvement within complex health systems, although adaptations are being currently evaluated.

Key Words: cascade analysis, implementation science, systems engineering, HIV care cascade

(J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019;82:S322–S331)

INTRODUCTION

Data-driven optimization of health system efficiency is critical to sustainably improving service delivery. In lowresource health systems, data-generating structures are frequently built to report specific indicators "up"—to health authorities and donors. This often comes at the expense of frontline health care workers (HCWs) using routine data to guide contextually appropriate strategies to improve service delivery.¹ Engaging HCWs to use routine program data to inform changes can make this time-intensive activity worthwhile to HCWs and improve data quality. Routine program data have great potential to inform data-driven optimization, however, with potential quality limitations.^{2,3}

Cascade analysis is an implementation strategy that uses data to visualize and quantify system performance, inefficiencies, and bottlenecks. Cascades are characterized by a series of sequential, conditional steps in a process that yield a desired outcome and explicitly model "handoffs" between and across complex, interdependent system components. For example, the prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) cascade involves HIV testing, linkage to care, antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV-positive mothers, antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis for infants, and repeated infant testing. Cascade analysis has informed numerous disease models and goal setting (eg, UNAIDS 90-90-90 goals⁴), identified gaps in system performance (eg, PMTCT^{5,6} and HIV prevention cascades^{7,8}), and helped prioritization of areas where improvement is most needed. Cascade analysis facilitates thinking across the entire care system to determine which steps are the most inefficient and where to focus improvement efforts to maximize impact.

Despite effectively identifying system inefficiencies, cascade analysis does not improve system performance unless paired with effective improvement strategies. The Systems Analysis and Improvement Approach (SAIA) is a package of implementation strategies that pairs a novel cascade analysis tool (CAT) with established implementation strategies for improvement—process mapping and continuous quality improvement (CQI)—to support frontline HCWs and managers who lead efforts to diagnose inefficiencies and guide iterative improvements in complex delivery systems.⁹ In a three-country cluster randomized trial, SAIA reduced drop-offs in the PMTCT cascade.¹⁰ CAT saved HCW time, enabled prompt feedback for self-assessment and motivation, increased program ownership, and guided managers to equitably allocate resources (including time).

In this article, we describe CAT adaptations for use within HIV and beyond. We define CAT and its components; identify processes, systems, and data sources well and poorly suited to CAT; describe 7 adaptations of CAT; and identify gaps and opportunities in CAT adaptation and implementation for systems improvement.

METHODS

CAT Structure and Mathematical Logic

CAT is intended to characterize present performance of a system and then aid in the relative prioritization of improvement opportunities. CAT visualizes cascades as a series of sequential, conditional, binary steps. It is a basic, Excel-based simulation model with an optimization function, using inputs from routine program data to identify the cascade step with the maximum opportunity for improvement. A cascade "step" may be either service receipt (e.g., HIV testing) or behavior (e.g., adherence).

We developed the first CAT in 2004 to model the HIV treatment cascade in Mozambique.¹¹ This CAT highlighted discrete cascade steps—(1) HIV testing, (2) ART clinic enrollment, (3) CD4 testing, (4) ART initiation, and (5) ART adherence—to prioritize time and resource allocation. CAT quantifies the number of people lost at each step (those eligible for but not completing each step) and the additional number of patients who would complete all 5 steps if an individual step achieved perfect performance while the performance of all other steps remained constant—what we call "cascade gain."¹¹

This early CAT was adapted to create the "PCAT" (PMTCT cascade analysis tool)^{9,12} used in the original SAIA trial. The PCAT presented aggregated data from cross-sectional, month-long periods abstracted from existing registers showing mother-infant dyads. It did not follow specific woman-infant dyads through each step, but rather reflected dyads who completed each step relative to the number who were expected to, based on upstream steps during the same period. The PCAT is more complex than the initial CAT, as PMTCT includes 2 cascades-antenatal care (ANC) initiation through newborn ARV prophylaxis (cascade 1) and enrollment of HIV-exposed infants in care through ART initiation for HIV-infected infants (cascade 2) (Fig. 1). Shaded cells represent data that are entered from PMTCT registers or demographic birth estimates, while unshaded cells represent automatic calculations. For each cascade step, the PCAT shows the number (column A) and proportion (column B) completing that step, number not completing (column C), and the potential "cascade gain" if a given step were to perform optimally (column D).

Cascade gain enumerates dyads that would successfully complete the full cascade if a given step performed perfectly, absent any changes to the remaining steps. In the example in Figure 1, if all mothers in ANC received HIV testing (improvement from 70% to 100%), without downstream improvements, we would expect another 127 HIV-exposed infants to successfully start HIV prophylaxis. The cascade gain column is used for relative prioritization when there are multiple opportunities for system improvement and insufficient resources to address them all simultaneously. For

Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.jaids.com | S323

nter totals in the shaded boxes	A		В	С	D
Cascade 1 ANC -> PPO	Tot	al	%	Drop-off	Extra completing through step 4 if dro -off eliminated
Health facility catchment area	100,0	00			
% pregnant	5	%			
Number of months		6			
Target population	2,5	00			
	Ļ				
Step 1 1st ANC visits	2,2	50	90%	250	3
	Ļ				
Step 2 HIV test at 1st ANC visit	1,5	80	70%	670	13
	Ļ				
HIV+ women identified at 1st ANC visit	5	00	32%		
Previously identified HIV+		0			
Identified HIV+ at later ANC visit		0			
Total HIV+ women	5	00			
	Ļ				
Step 3 HIV+ women who start ART or PPO	4.	50	90%	50	3
	Ļ				
Step 4 Newborns of HIV+ women receiving PPO	3	00	67%	150	1
			1	-	
Cascade 2 At-Risk Child Consult - > ART		Total	%	Drop-off	Extra completing through step 7 if dr -off eliminated
Step 5 1st at-risk child visits		210	70%	29	2
		ļ			
Step 6 Babies in at-risk care with PCR <8weeks		147	70%	6	3
		Ļ		7	
Babies identified HIV+ in at-risk care		30	20%		
Stop 7 Eligible babies oprolled in ADT		15	E0%		
Step 7 Eligible babies enrolled in ART		15	50%	1	5 1

FIGURE 1. The prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade analysis tool (PCAT). Cascade 1 covers ANC through postpartum prophylaxis (PPO). Cascade 2 covers at-risk child visits through ART initiation for HIV-positive infants.

example, 30% gaps in infant linkage to care (step 5) and infant testing (step 6) could intuitively be seen as equally important; additionally, infant testing appears more proximal to the desired outcome than linkage. However, the cascade gain for infant linkage to care is greater; thus, HCWs should prioritize this step for optimal systems improvement in settings with limited time and resources.

Although the calculations are relatively simple, cascade gain—a series of conditional probabilities multiplied by one another and applied to the absolute number of individuals who did not complete the step—is the most novel and challenging concept within CAT. Maintaining the example from Figure 1, 670 women missed HIV testing; if this step were fixed, those 670 women would have been tested, 214 (670*32%) would be expected to have been positive, 193 (670*32%*90%) would be expected to have linked to PMTCT, and 127 (670*32%*90%*67%) would be expected to have brought their infants for HIV prophylaxis, reflecting the "cascade gain" column. This structure assumes counterfactual exchangeability, that is, we assume that if those dyads that failed a step had not failed, they would have had the same subsequent cascade probabilities as those who were observed to have completed the step. Although subsequent CAT adaptations have moderately more complex structures, all have the same logic of sequential multiplied conditional probabilities.

Systems to Which CAT is Well and Poorly Suited

CAT is well suited to care models where (1) steps occur sequentially, (2) the system's goal is to optimize (reach 100% completion) on at least 1 step, and (3) each step is binary (completed or not). There are, of course, conditions and exceptions:

• Although health systems are complex and not every patient follows the same flow, CAT is well suited to systems with a dominant service delivery flow pattern, even if minority flow patterns exist. For example, the PMTCT system has 1 dominant service delivery flow pattern making CAT

S324 | www.jaids.com

suitable, while emergency department settings have multiple conditional, branching steps in patient management and highly individualized flow patterns challenging for CAT.

- Some cascade steps are not intended to be optimized. For example, we would aspire to have 100% of pregnant women tested for HIV, but would not aspire to have 100% test HIV-positive. CAT remains well suited to systems with these types of steps, but may be less well suited to a system in which management is less algorithmic and clinical decision-making is based on multiple, individualized factors (comorbidity, clinical presentation, and patient resources).
- Some steps have a number of preferred outcomes based on test value ranges. A continuous variable may be transformed into discrete categorical options; with sufficiently few options, this system may remain well suited to CAT. For example, blood pressure (BP) readings are continuous but can be binned into ranges indicating (1) ineligible for treatment, (2) eligible for treatment, or (3) refer for hypertensive emergency. These categories then can become multinomial or binary steps and remain appropriate for CAT. However, a patient encounter for common mental illnesses might reveal mild, moderate, or severe depression, potentially comorbid with anxiety and/or post-traumatic stress disorder. The absence of mutually exclusive categories makes this step poorly suited to CAT. In addition, steps that assess quality or fidelity of delivery might be poorly suited to CAT as currently conceptualized.

CAT Data Sources

Ideal routine health information attributes include validity, timeliness, completeness, representativeness, and reliability.³ Data sources for populating CAT should additionally be aggregated correctly, physically accessible, represent denominators of interest, and not add additional burden to HCWs. Unsurprisingly, it is rare to find a singular data source that meets all of these qualities. Adapting CAT to a new cascade requires determining which data sources are "good enough" and which services they represent. This process requires a methodical approach; we have used data mapping procedures to identify possible data sources and note their strengths and limitations (Table 1). Data mapping procedures emerged from the related process mapping; instead of patient flow, they illustrate where data are collected, transported, recorded, and stored. Predetermined rules for data quality have been useful in some CAT adaptations, often following guidance from the Global Fund that uses a 10% error rate as a threshold above which data quality improvement activities should be undertaken before introducing CAT. In some cases, adequate data systems do not exist and must be created. This may be more common in low-resource settings where health systems are moving from acute to chronic care service models.

RESULTS

CAT has been adapted to diverse cascades globally, to communicable and noncommunicable diseases (NCD), and to

Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

single encounters and chronic care management. Evaluations are ongoing to assess the impact of combination interventions incorporating CAT on health and implementation outcomes, using qualitative and quantitative methods. A core research team supported CAT adaptation, but scientific leadership was unique across the adaptations. This section outlines CAT adaptations (Table 2).

Mobile Application

A mobile smart phone PCAT application was developed (mobile PCAT ["mPCAT"] [NIH R21AI124399])¹³ to address the computer access and computer literacy barriers of the Excel-based PCAT. Mobile phones are widely used in Mozambique and Kenya, and mPCAT's development was driven by nurses' desire to independently use data to gauge service flow and identify bottlenecks. During usability testing and feasibility pilots in Kenya and Mozambique, several adaptations were made, including simplification of data entry, harmonization of labels with national registry norms, and ensuring easy sharing of results through WhatsApp or email.¹³ Currently, the mPCAT is being used in SAIA-SCALE (R01MH113435),¹⁴ which is scaling-up SAIA across one Mozambican province, and is available on Google Playstore as PCAT Mozambique and PCAT Kenya.

Pediatric and Adolescent HIV

The second CAT adaptation was to the pediatric and adolescent HIV cascade in Kenya (F32HD088204; R34AI129900), the "PedCAT." The PedCAT includes 5 steps: (1) HIV testing uptake, (2) linkage to care, (3) ART initiation, (4) viral load (VL) monitoring, and (5) VL suppression. Data sources aggregated to reflect children (0-9 years), adolescents (10-19 years), and young adults (20-24 years) distinctly were lacking. Three data sources were considered: (1) monthly aggregated, clinic-level count data from the District Health Information Service; (2) abstracted individual-level, cross-sectional facility paper registers, and (3) individual-level, longitudinal or crosssectional electronic medical records. No single data source was sufficient to cover the 5 cascade steps. Abstraction of paper registers was selected as the only feasible approach, and a minimum of 8 registers are required to fill the PedCAT appropriately.

Family Planning and HIV Testing Integration

The third CAT adaptation modeled the integration of HIV testing into family planning (FP) services in Kenya (K24HD088229), the "FPCAT." National guidelines recommend HIV testing in FP; in 2008, HIV testing questions were added to the FP clinic register, which contains the required inputs for the FPCAT: (1) HIV counseling and (2) HIV testing.

Hypertension and HIV Care Integration

The fourth CAT adaptation focused on integrating hypertension services into HIV care in Mozambique

www.jaids.com | S325

	Specific Activities	Special Issues to
Sources to Populate a		
TABLE 1. Mapping St	eps for Identifying	and Evaluating Data

General Step	Specific Activities Suggestion	Special Issues to Consider
1. Identify all existing data sources	Consider the use of census or other routine administrative data sources to inform denominators Request information on official registers and best practices from health authorities Perform physical walkthrough of clinic to:	Consider informal tracking systems (eg, tick marks on a side paper, waiting bay cards that are color coded to match services needed)
	Confirm presence of data sources identified by health authorities Identify any additional sources (cards/files/ booklets) being filled and utilized	
2. Consider logistics of accessing data source routinely	 For each source, determine: Person responsible for data entry and aggregation Storage Access if currently in use (after hours/weekends?) 	Data source may be stored in a way that prohibits accurate estimation of denominators (eg, patient booklets that travel with patient, longitudinal registers that are batched by first visit in care)
3. Characterize the accuracy of each data source in informing numerator and denominator counts of cascade indicators	For each source, check: • Completeness of denominator data • Consistency with other data sources • Accuracy and completeness of numerator data • Causes and impact of missingness • Meaning of special marks/numbering systems • How unusual scenarios are captured	Numerator from upstream step should match denominator for next step; compare data sources to ensure the same number appears in both sources Identification numbers should be unique to reflect a single patient; consider how dyads and repeat visits are tracked and linked, as well as accuracy of that system Individuals may be systematically missing from denominator (eg, arrive after service ends and referred to next level of care)
4. Compare any aggregated counts to their source data for accuracy and assess whether level of aggregation matches cascade population	Perform field check or database comparison of a random sample Consider decision rules for accuracy Compare multiple levels of aggregation (eg, within registry vs. facilitywide) for most accurate and convenient source	Age bands or periods for aggregation not matching population of interest Aggregated summary counts for population that does not match cascade (eg, combining known HIV- positive and newly diagnosed HIV-positive individuals)

(R01HL142412), the "HCAT." In many resource-limited settings, chronic care models for NCD do not exist at scale, while the HIV chronic care platform is broadly implemented. Integrating hypertension services into the HIV platform represented an opportunity to standardize and scale NCD services. The HCAT took a cross-sectional approach and included the following steps: (1) BP screening, (2) diagnosis, (3) linkage to care, (4) treatment

S326 | www.jaids.com

monitoring, BP initiation, (5) ongoing and (6)controlled hypertension.

Mental Health

The fifth CAT adaptation focused on optimizing taskshared outpatient mental health care for epilepsy and schizophrenia in Mozambique (R21MH113691), the "MHCAT."^{15,16} In contrast to previous sequential cascades, chronic outpatient mental health care involves a complex series of repeated, cyclical treatment and intervention protocols, and steps may differ by patient symptoms, diagnoses, and within patients over time. A challenge was determining which cascade steps were most important for monitoring outpatient cascade performance, while being identical for all patients within each diagnosis. The resultant MHCAT cascade steps are high-level and lose much of the granularity of detailed outpatient mental health treatment protocols. This has the benefit of identifying improvement opportunities that may be common to multiple systems, but the disadvantage of losing the opportunity to identify protocolspecific improvement opportunities.

Opioid Overdose Reversal

The sixth CAT adaptation focused on optimizing integration of naloxone-an opioid antagonist that reverses opioid overdose-distribution within syringe access programs for people who inject drugs in California, USA (R21DA046703), the "NCAT." Like the PCAT, the NCAT has 2 cascades: naloxone distribution and community-based overdose reversal. Cascade 1 consists of 4 steps: (1) present for syringe access services, (2) trained to administer naloxone, (3) possess naloxone, and (4) immediate access to naloxone. Cascade 2 contains 3 steps: (1) overdoses observed, (2) community-based reversal attempted, and (3) successful reversals. This adaptation is the only application of CAT in a resource-rich country and in a community-based, as opposed to health facility-based, setting. The absence of robust data systems, a stigmatized health service, challenges with loss to follow-up, and limited resources available for service delivery are similarities between this adaptation and others in resource-limited settings outside of the United States. However, unique opportunities of this adaptation include having flexibility to modify data sources and markedly change service delivery organization without liaising with centralized bodies, such as ministries of health.

Cervical Cancer Screening

The seventh adaptation of CAT considers integration of cervical cancer screening into FP clinics in Kenya, the "CCS-CAT," and is under development. Key challenges in this adaptation include properly identifying FP clients who have been screened within the recommended timeframe by age and HIV status and therefore do not require screening¹⁷ and properly categorizing women who receive screening at subsequent, rather than first, visits.

	ART CAT	PCAT	mPCAT	PedCAT	FPCAT	HCAT	MHCAT	CCS-CAT	NCAT
Country	Mozambique	Mozambique, Kenya, Cote d'Ivoire	Mozambique, Kenya	Kenya	Kenya	Mozambique	Mozambique	Kenya	USA
Year of development	2004	2013	2018	2017-2018	2018	2019	2018	2019	2019
Disease area(s)	HIV treatment	HIV prevention	HIV prevention	HIV testing, treatment, and suppression	HIV testing	Hypertension screening and management	Mental illness	Cervical cancer screening and treatment	Opioid overdose reversal
Population(s) of interest	Adults living with HIV	Pregnant women living with HIV and HIV-exposed infant dyad	Pregnant women living with HIV and HIV-exposed infant dyad	Children, adolescents, and young adults (0–24 years)	Family planning clinic attendees	People living with HIV/ Broader adult population in ambulatory care	Individuals diagnosed with a mental health problem in primary care	People living with HIV and general population, specifically family planning clinic attendees	People who inject drugs
Cohort versus cross-sectional approach to counts	Cohort	Cross-sectional	Cross-sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross-sectional	Cohort	Cohort	Cross-sectional
Period reflected in cascade	12 month cohort	Minimum 1 month	Minimum 1 month	1 month	1 month	Minimum 1 month	3 month moving cohorts	1 month	Weekly for naloxone distribution cascade; 3- monthly for naloxone use cascade
No. of data sources to populate	3	4	4	8+	1	3 (still in development)	1	1	2
Type of data sources (paper/ electronic)	Paper registers	Paper and electronic	Paper and electronic	Paper registers	Paper registers	Paper and electronic	Paper individual patient chart	Paper registers	Electronic
Available data aggregated to correct level to populate cascade	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
No. of sites where piloted or tested	1	Piloted in 6, trialed in 18	Piloted in 3, scaling in 36	6	12	1 piloted (trialing soon in 8 sites)	4	Pilot pending	1 current pilot, 3 planned
Steps of cascade	 Test for HIV Enroll for HIV care Undergo CD4 testing Start ART (if eligible) Adhere to ART 	HIV (or known positive) (3) Start ART (4) Prophylaxis for HIV-exposed newborns (5) Attend at-risk visits (6) Polymerase chain reaction testing performed within 8 weeks (7) Start ART	 1 Ist antenatal visit Tested for HIV (or known positive) Start ART Prophylaxis for HIV-exposed newborns Attend at-risk visits Polymerase chain reaction testing performed within 8 weeks Start ART within 12 months 	 Complete HIV testing Link to HIV care Initiate antiretroviral therapy Receive viral load monitoring Suppress viral load 	 (1) FP clients (2) Coun- seled for HIV testing (3) Tested for HIV 	 Outpatient visits Blood pressure measurement Eligible for hypertension medication Medication prescribed Prescription filled Hypertension controlled at next visit 	 New diagnoses of mental health problem Medication prescribed Follow-up date provided Return to last follow-up visit Return to last follow-up visit Return to last follow-up visit Return to last follow-up visit Adherent based on pill counts Show improved function 	In development	Naloxone distribution: (1) Present for syringe access services (2) Trained in naloxone administration (3) Possess naloxone (4) Immediate access to naloxone Naloxone use: (1) Overdoses observed (2) Community- based reversal

ART CAT, antiretroviral therapy cascade analysis tool; PCAT, prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade analysis tool; PedCAT, pediatric and adolescent cascade analysis tool; FPCAT, family planning cascade analysis tool; MHCAT, mental health cascade analysis tool; CCS-CAT, cervical cancer cascade analysis tool; HCAT, hypertension cascade analysis tool; NCAT, naloxone cascade analysis tool.

Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.jaids.com | S327

successful

Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Major Modification Domains	mPCAT	PedCAT	FPCAT	НСАТ	МНСАТ	CCS-CAT	NCAT
(1) Periods for data aggregation and analysis					Problem: Small counts make monthly cascades difficult because of "zero" cells; highly variable monthly counts lead to implausible proportions using cross-sectional approach		Problem: Clients receiving naloxone have unstructure visit schedule that could re in repeat visits within a m syringe services; however, naloxone use is infrequent
					Solution: Cascade covers 3- month long period to increase overall counts; cascade uses cohort-based population to retain relevant denominators and plausible proportions		Solution: Naloxone distrib cascade uses 1-week long period; naloxone use casc uses 3-month long period
(2) Use of cross-sectional vs. cohort-based populations							
(3) Handling low patient volumes							Problem: Small counts in naloxone use cascade mak monthly cascades difficult because of "zero" cells
							Solution: Naloxone use co 3-month long period to ind overall counts
(4) Analyzing a full catchment area vs. patients		Problem: Catchment area population undefined					Problem: Catchment area population undefined
presenting for care		Solution: Cascade begins with population presenting to health center					Solution: Cascade begins population presenting to syringe service programs
(5) Estimating denominators		Problem: Estimating denominator for viral load monitoring not feasible directly Solution: Used pseudodenominators from	Solution: Include only new clients to avoid repeat testing				
		simple mathematical formulas	challenges				
(6) Managing appropriate exit and re-entry of groups from cascade		Problem: Heterogeneity between sites in assessing HIV testing eligibility Solution: Included as optional step in cascade to accommodate sites with and without step				Problem: Not all clients are eligible for HIV testing, which depends on risk profile and recentness of testing Solution: Included appropriate exit for individuals who did not require testing at time point	Problem: Clients have 2 streams of services within a similar visit structure (ei requiring provision of nale or not), and all contribute final step of cascade of appropriate possession Solution: Cascade include appropriate exit and re-en steps in programming to r holistic end step in cascad
(7) Integrating with electronic health records or mobile platforms	Problem: Access to computers with Excel limited potential users of tool; results challenging to share in intuitive format				Problem: No existing data tools to link patient records over time; high data collection needs to populate cohorts		
	Solution: Migrated from Excel- based to mobile phone-based platform, with data visualization graphics that can be sent through WhatsApp and other messaging services				Solution: Created new mental health modules in electronic medical record; integrated cascade with electronic medical record to autopopulate		

J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr • Volume 82, Supplement 3, December 2019

Wagner et al

TABLE 3. (Continued) Challenges and Solutions in) Challenges and	l Solutions in Adapting C	Adapting Cascade Analysis Tool (CAT)	Tool (CAT)			
Major Modification Domains	mPCAT	PedCAT	FPCAT	HCAT	MHCAT	CCS-CAT	NCAT
(8) Expanding CAT operators to include new HCW cadres							Problem: Clients served outside of a health facility setting by community-based organizations Solution: Cascade filled and operated by community-based organizations
(9) Additional unique challenges		Problem: Age bands to define children and adolescents not reflected in aggregated data sources. Solution: Abstract data from paper registers to enable custom age bands; this solution has downside of taking time and resources		Problem: No existing data tools Problem: Cascade steps may for hypertension; medical differ based on mental health management may differ based diagnoses and for patients or on comorbidities time Solution. In process of hypertension registers for resolving challenge government; medical management steps left flexible to accommodate changing guidelines and comorbidities	Problem: Cascade steps may differ based on mental health diagnoses and for patients over time Solution: In process of resolving challenge		Problem: Existing data sources exist but are time intensive and bias against service or representative denominator Solution: Introduce new streamlined data tool to populate cascade and separate from intensive questionnaire

Challenges Encountered During CAT Adaptation

Challenges and design choices broadly fell into 8 categories (Table 3): (1) periods for data aggregation and analysis, (2) handling low patient volumes, (3) use of cross-sectional versus cohort-based populations, (4) integrating with electronic health records or mobile platforms, (5) analyzing a full catchment area versus patients presenting for care, (6) estimating denominators, (7) managing appropriate exit and re-entry of groups, and (8) expanding CAT operators. Examples of each are described below.

The NCAT required modification of periods (1) as, unlike in facility-based chronic care where visits typically occur at fixed intervals, syringe access visits may occur multiple times per month depending on client needs. To address this, NCAT cascade 1 was aggregated weekly. By contrast, in NCAT cascade 2 and the MHCAT, the period was extended to 3 months. Using monthly data for individual MHCAT diagnoses and for overdose reversals caused "zero" cells due to small numbers; (2) 3-month data overcame this challenge, but are less sensitive to monthly CQI cycles.

The MHCAT was the only adaptation to use a cohortbased approach (3). This adaptation required creating a unique form to track patient visits over time. An open-source electronic medical record software (OpenMRS) was used to automatically populate the MHCAT (4). Notably, the model of using individual-based cohort data creates a large data collection, review, and entry burden.

The PCAT is able to estimate the number of expected pregnancies in each clinic's catchment area and the estimated number not presenting for ANC, making it well suited to evaluate both demand generation and supply side interventions (5). However, several others lack this step. For example, for the NCAT and MHCAT, epidemiologic data on population prevalence of targeted conditions are lacking; for the PedCAT, estimates of pediatric and adolescent HIV prevalence are not available below the county level. These CAT were limited to those presenting for care, making them unsuitable to evaluate demand generation interventions.

Sources of denominator data, even within the population presenting for care, can be challenging (6). For the HCAT and MHCAT, no patient tracking forms were in use and new registers had to be developed and piloted. For the PedCAT, estimating the number of children and adolescents requiring a VL sample was complex. Abstraction from patient files to determine when individual patients' routine VLs were expected according to Kenyan guidelines was unfeasible; a mathematically calculated pseudodenominator was chosen to overcome this challenge and extend the PedCAT through to a clinically relevant health outcome.

In the FPCAT and PedCAT, testing schedules for different Kenyan populations required determining appropriate exits from the cascade for individuals not requiring testing (7). In the FPCAT, exit was required for known HIV-positive clients or those with a recent HIV test (3-monthly for key populations and annually for general population). However, this population characterization was not routinely documented in the FP register, requiring modification of data

systems. By contrast, the NCAT cascade 1 has 2 different streams of services, depending on whether syringe access clients received an initial training; clients who have are not exited but have separate cascade steps. This creates 2 streams that run in parallel and intersect for the final cascade step.

The NCAT was the first to reflect community-based delivery and data collection (8). Although data sources existed to partially populate the NCAT, data collection systems were lengthy and inhibited service provision. Short-ened tools are under development.

DISCUSSION

Through the first HIV care CAT, the original SAIA PCAT, and 7 subsequent adaptations, we have learned lessons on CAT structure, mathematics, acceptability, feasibility, and usability. We have encountered technical challenges (e.g., absence of appropriate data sources or complex care patterns not easily dichotomized) and created technical innovations (e.g., mobile-based collection or EMR integration).

Additional opportunities remain for CAT adaptation, such as incorporation of nonbinary outcomes, integration into e-platforms, and expansion of CAT operators. Quality of health services is a new frontier,¹⁸⁻²⁰ but is often multidimensional and on a continuous scale, and not easily summarized by the binary CAT steps. Additional work is needed to incorporate quality and other nonbinary steps into CAT, while maintaining the tool's attractive simplicity. As CAT is applied to chronic care models, repeat visits within individuals need to be modeled, as well as complex case management patterns to address comorbidities, side effects, treatment switches, mortality, and migration. As electronic health information systems become more common, innovation is needed to integrate CAT into routine eHealth platforms (eg, DHIS II or OpenMRS). As CAT is scaled up, as in SAIA-SCALE, or decentralized to community-based organizations, as in SAIA-Naloxone, questions remain about who should populate the tool-HCWs, community workers, or research staff. In addition, further research is needed to identify settings in which CAT is most and least useful. For example, in the original SAIA trial, HCWs in Cote d'Ivoire (where HIV prevalence is lower and zero counts were common) found the tool to be less useful than Mozambican and Kenyan HCWs. Contextual factors beyond facility volume may impact acceptability and utility of CAT. Finally, data collection is underway to determine whether CAT is time saving for HCWs and whether stakeholders have interest in expanding and sustaining CAT use beyond research settings.

There are important epidemiologic questions about using CAT to evaluate impact in a research context, balancing specificity and usability. Although CAT is intended as an implementation tool, it could be used to populate charts for CQI evaluation, or CAT step performance could be tested using classical statistical hypothesis testing. However, there may be a tension between acceptability and specificity if CAT is to be used for both priority setting and impact evaluation. The target population to assess impact might be more homogeneous, exclude patients known to have been exposed to the intervention for longer periods, or otherwise restrict the patient population. Using CAT to evaluate impact is further complicated by the multiple steps and therefore multiple potential outcomes; initial work has investigated the discriminating power of comparing high and low performance of individual versus composite indicators in the PMTCT cascade,²¹ an analysis that should be replicated for other cascades. In addition, CAT includes both absolute numbers and proportions; improvements in upstream steps of CAT could result in a false sense of worsening downstream effects if only considered on the relative proportion scale rather than the absolute scale.

The use of CAT in the SAIA trial and subsequent adaptations suggest that CAT is an effective implementation strategy, although evaluations of adaptations to determine impact on health and implementation outcomes are ongoing. Although CAT was developed within the SAIA package, and the examples demonstrate its role within SAIA, CAT may be used independently or in conjunction with other implementation strategies. CAT has high acceptability and feasibility across resource-limited and high-income settings and with various HCW cadres. CAT integrates well with CQI and process mapping, two evidence-based implementation strategies,²² and may be a low cost addition to enhance their effectiveness. Further research is ongoing to examine other implementation outcomes associated with use of CAT, such as penetration and sustainability.

CONCLUSIONS

CAT is intended to overcome a well-described barrier between routine data availability and its use for data-informed systems optimization.^{23–25} It is a powerful implementation strategy that synergizes to enhance the effectiveness of wellestablished implementation strategies, such as CQI and process mapping, by allowing frontline HCWs to view their systems holistically and support prioritization. CAT is adaptable to a wide range of health services, populations, and settings; innovations in technology have made CAT more acceptable and usable to widespread audiences. Future methodologic challenges include simplifying data collection, reflecting more complex care systems, and scaling this approach to routine program implementation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gimbel S, Chilundo B, Kenworthy N, et al. Donor data vacuuming in global health partnerships. *Med Anthropol Theor.* 2018;5:79–99.
- Gloyd S, Wagenaar BH, Woelk GB, et al. Opportunities and challenges in conducting secondary analysis of HIV programmes using data from routine health information systems and personal health information. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2016;19:20847.
- 3. Wagenaar BH, Sherr K, Fernandes Q, et al. Using routine health information systems for well-designed health evaluations in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy Plan.* 2016;31:129–135.
- UNAIDS. Fast-track: Ending the AIDS Epidemic by 2030. 2014. Available at: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/ JC2686_WAD2014report_en.pdf. Accessed April 29, 2019.
- Hamilton E, Bossiky B, Ditekemena J, et al. Using the PMTCT cascade to accelerate achievement of the global plan goals. JAIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;75:S27–S35.
- Chi BH, Tih PM, Zanolini A, et al. Implementation and operational research. JAIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;70:e5–e9.

S330 | www.jaids.com

- Hargreaves JR, Delany-Moretlwe S, Hallett TB, et al. The HIV prevention cascade: integrating theories of epidemiological, behavioural, and social science into programme design and monitoring. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3:e318–e322.
- Hensen B, Fearon E, Schaap A, et al. Application of an HIV prevention cascade to identify gaps in increasing coverage of voluntary medical male circumcision services in 42 rural Zambian communities. *AIDS Behav.* 2019;23:1095–1103.
- Sherr K, Gimbel S, Rustagi A, et al. Systems analysis and improvement to optimize pMTCT (SAIA): a cluster randomized trial. *Implement Sci.* 2014;9:55.
- Rustagi AS, Gimbel S, Nduati R, et al. Impact of a systems engineering intervention on PMTCT service delivery in Cote d'Ivoire, Kenya, Mozambique: a cluster randomized trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72:e76–e82.
- Micek MA, Gimbel-Sherr K, Baptista AJ, et al. Loss to follow-up of adults in public HIV care systems in central Mozambique: identifying obstacles to treatment. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;52:397–405.
- Gimbel S, Voss J, Mercer MA, et al. The prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade analysis tool: supporting health managers to improve facility-level service delivery. *BMC Res Notes.* 2014;7:743.
- Kawakyu N, Nduati R, Munguambe K, et al. Development and implementation of a mobile phone–based prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade analysis tool: usability and feasibility testing in Kenya and Mozambique. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2019;7: e13963.
- 14. Sherr K, Ásbjörnsdóttir K, Crocker J, et al. Scaling-up the Systems Analysis and Improvement Approach for prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission in Mozambique (SAIA-SCALE): a stepped-wedge cluster randomized trial. *Implement Sci.* 2019;14:41.
- Wagenaar BH, Cumbe V, Raunig-Berhó M, et al. Health facility determinants and trends of ICD-10 outpatient psychiatric consultations

across Sofala, Mozambique: time-series analyses from 2012 to 2014. BMC Psychiatry. 2015;15:227.

- Wagenaar BH, Cumbe V, Raunig-Berho M, et al. Outpatient mental health services in Mozambique: use and treatments. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2016;67:588.
- Ministry of Health. PANGA UZAZI National Family Planning Guidelines for Service Providers. 2010. Available at: https://www.k4health. org/sites/default/files/Kenya FP Guidelines 2010 final signed_full text. pdf. Accessed May 20, 2019.
- Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, et al. High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. *Lancet Glob Heal.* 2018;6:e1196–e1252.
- Berwick D, Snair M, Nishtar S. Crossing the global health quality chasm: a key component of universal health coverage. *JAMA*. 2018;320: 1317–1318.
- World Health Organization, World Bank. Delivering Quality Health Services: A Global Imperative for Universal Health Coverage. Geneva, Switzerland; 2018.
- 21. Gimbel S, Voss J, Rustagi A, et al. What does high and low have to do with it? Performance classification to identify health system factors associated with effective prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV delivery in Mozambique. J Int AIDS Soc. 2014;17:18828.
- Sifrim ZK, Barker PM, Mate KS. What gets published: the characteristics of quality improvement research articles from low- and middle-income countries. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2012;21:423–431.
- 23. Monks T. Operational research as implementation science: definitions, challenges and research priorities. *Implement Sci.* 2016;11:1–10.
- Wagenaar BH, Gimbel S, Hoek R, et al. Effects of a health information system data quality intervention on concordance in Mozambique: timeseries analyses from 2009-2012. *Popul Health Metr.* 2015;13:9.
- Wagner AD, Crocker J, Liu S, et al. Making smarter decisions faster: systems engineering to improve the global public health response to HIV. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2019;16:279–291.